

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
19/555, 986	08/17/00	CEVC		G	500.	1011
_		LIMA O 7000E	\neg	EXAMINER		
)23280 >AVIDSON, DA\	HM12/0925 APPEL, LLC		_KISHOR	E.G		
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR				ART UNIT PAPER		PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK NY :				1615 DATE MAIL	ED:	8
					09/	25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/555,986**

Applicant(s)

Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D

Cevc Art Unit

nit **1615**

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ______ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this

- Failur - Any (y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🗓 This act	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-57</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-57</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
10) 🗆 11) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.				
13) 💢 a) 🔯	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	_	ve been received in Application No				
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	•				
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachm	ent(s)					
15) 💢 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
16) 🔲 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) 🔲 Im	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				

Art Unit: :1615

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment dated 8-17-00 and the change of address and the power of attorney dated 2-6-01 are acknowledged.

Claims included in the prosecution are 1-57.

Specification

- This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37
 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no brief description of the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: :1615

5. Claims 1-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for specific combination of substances (first substance, second substance and third substance), specific liquid medium, does not reasonably provide enablement for claims as claimed with confusing terminology. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Claims are recited in a very vague and confusing way and the specification does not provide adequate guidance as to the nature of the claimed composition.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claims 1-3 need restructuring since they are very confusing. If the substances are soluble in the medium, then how can they form extended surfaces? What is meant an extended surface? The examiner suggests naming these substances and the third substances. 'especially' is indefinite since it is unclear whether the expression following this term is indeed the limitation. 'can associate' is not a positive expression (some dependent

Art Unit: :1615

claims recite 'may'). 'less extended' is indefinite since less is a relative term. It is unclear whether the limitation in parenthesis in claim 2 is indeed the limitation. Applicant should note that some of the dependent claims also have parenthesis.

'preferably' 'especially', 'in particular', 'if necessary' are indefinite since it is unclear whether the expression following this term is indeed the limitation (claims 4, 6-7, 9-19, 22, 33-38, 50 and 56).

What is meant by 'supporting surface of a suitable curvature or size' as recited in claim 8? What is this material?

What is a 'background electrolyte' in claim 11.

'like' in claim 13 is indefinite since it is not a positive expression.

Applicant should recite specific lipids in claim 16 avoiding vague terms and expressions such as 'modification of such a lipid', 'corresponding synthetic lipid', e.g., 'other glycolipids, 'corresponding derivatives' to name a few (also in claims 19, 28, 30, 31).

Regarding claims 26, 45 the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

What is being conveyed by 'after some' in claim 27?

What are the those terms recited in claim 28? The examiner requests any literature evidence that those terms are known expressions. The examiner also suggests reciting SPECIFIC components in a proper Markush format avoiding terms such as any sleep

Art Unit: :1615

inducing agent' to give an example). Similar is the case with claim 31 which recites 'some other kind'.

'type' in claim 34 is indefinite; See Ex parte Copenhaver, 109 USPQ 118.

What is 'IF' in claim 36?

Claim 39 is a method claim and should recite specific steps leading to the novel claimed composition.

'What is 'agent molecule' in claim 40?

8. Claims 53-57 provide for the use of the composition, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 53-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

The examiner once again suggests a careful revision of all the claims and reciting them according to US practice so that a proper search can be made.

Art Unit: :1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 92/03122 of record or CA 2 052 164 of record.

WO and CA publications disclose a composition containing two or more amphiphilic substances with different solubilities for the administration of various active substances including insulin (note the abstract and entire publication, in particular, examples and claims in both).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *G.S. Kishore* whose telephone number is (703) 308-2440.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.K. Page, can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)305-3592.

Art Unit: :1615

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [thurman.page@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1235.

Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph. D

Primary Examiner

Group 1600